ENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D O & FEB 2515

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference JMD/DGR/P407377WO				FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)				
International application No. PCT/GB 03/02609				International filing date 18.06.2003	(day/mon	th/year)	Priority date (day/mon 18.06.2002	th/year)
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IF				and IPC				
B08	B08B5/04							
1	Applicant .							
AW.	AZEL	. WA`	TERPROOFING COM	IPANY et al.				
		-						
1.	This Auth	interiority	national preliminary exar and is transmitted to the	nination report has bee applicant according to	n prepa Article 3	red by this inte 36.	emational Preliminary	Examining
ļ		,						
2.	2. This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet.							
ے.	11115	пшг	OHI COISISIS OF A TOTAL C	or o streets, including a	iis cove	i Sileet.		
		This	report is also accompar n amended and are the l	nied by ANNEXES, i.e.	sheets	of the descripti	on, claims and/or drav	vings which have
			Rule 70.16 and Section					ore this Addionty
	These annexes consist of a total of sheets.							
							•	
з.	This	repo	rt contains indications re	lating to the following it	emş:			
	ı	×	Basis of the opinion					
	11		Priority					
	111	\boxtimes	Non-establishment of	opinion with regard to n	ovelty, i	nventive step	and industrial applicat	oility
	IV ☐ Lack of unity of invention			on				
	٧	×	Reasoned statement u				nventive step or indust	rial applicability;
	VI		Certain documents cite	ed				
	VII		Certain defects in the i	nternational application	1			
	VIII		Certain observations o	n the international appl	ication			
Date of submission of the demand Date of completion of this report								
Date	Date of submission of the demand			Date of	i completion of t	iis report		
16.01.2004				07.02	.2005			
	Name and mailing address of the International				Authori	ized Officer		nas Patento
prelii	preliminary examining authority: European Patent Office							11
D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465				Devile	ers, E			
				Teleph	one No. +49 89	2399-8426	Apple same	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/GB 03/02609

١.	Rasis	of the	report

1. With regard to the elements of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	Description, Pages				
	1-21	ı	as originally filed		
	Clai	ims, Numbers			
	1-78	3	as originally filed		
	Dra	wings, Sheets	·		
	1/3-	•	as originally filed		
2.	With regard to the language , all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
	The	se elements were av	ailable or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:		
		the language of a tra	inslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).		
		the language of publ	ication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).		
		the language of a tra Rule 55.2 and/or 55.	unslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under 3).		
3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:					
		contained in the inte	mational application in written form.		
	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.				
 furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the d in the international application as filed has been furnished. 			ntly to this Authority in written form.		
			ntly to this Authority in computer readable form.		
			he subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure pplication as filed has been furnished.		
		The statement that t listing has been furn	he information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence ished.		
4.	4. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:				
		the description,	pages:		
		the claims,	Nos.:		
		the drawings,	sheets:		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/GB 03/02609

5.	.	This report has been establish been considered to go beyond	hed as d the d	if (some of) lisclosure as	the amendments had not been made, since they have filed (Rule 70.2(c)).		
		(Any replacement sheet conta report.)	aining :	such amendr	nents must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this		
6.	Ado	additional observations, if necessary:					
[]].	. Not	n-establishment of opinion w	rith req	gard to nove	elty, inventive step and industrial applicability		
1.	The obv	questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non- ious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:					
☐ the entire international application,							
☑ claims Nos. 16,17-78 because:							
		the said international application not require an international property.	ion, or elimina	the said clair ary examinati	ns Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does on (specify):		
	⊠	the description, claims or draw unclear that no meaningful op	claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 16 are so meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):				
see separate sheet							
		the claims, or said claims Nos could be formed.	. are s	o inadequate	ely supported by the description that no meaningful opinion		
	×	no international search report	has be	en establish	ed for the said claims Nos. 17-78		
2.	 A meaningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the failure of the nucleotide or amino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions: 						
		the written form has not been	furnish	ned or does r	not comply with the Standard.		
		the computer readable form h	as not	been furnish	ed or does not comply with the Standard.		
٧.	Rea cita	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
1.	Stat	Statement					
	Nov	relty (N)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	2,6,7,11,13-15 1,3,4,5,8,9,10,12		
	Inve	entive step (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-15		
	Indu	ustrial applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-15		

2. Citations and explanations

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/GB 03/02609

see separate sheet

International application No. PCT/GB 03/02609 INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

1. To point III:

the wordings of claim 16 is too vague and too indefinite to allow to formulate a meaningful opinion about the subject-matter of this claim. Moreover, claim 16 does not comply with Rule 6.2.a. PCT.

To point V: 2.

2.1. to independent claims 1 and 10:

Document WO03/022464 discloses a cleaning equipment suitable for cleaning a tank and comprising a suction pipe connected to a pump. The use of such an equipment obviously comprise all the steps listed in claim 1.

Attention of the applicant is drawn to the fact that same remarks do apply to document CA-A-02366079, DE-A-10131419 and US-A-5306351. Moreover, the wording "for cleaning a vessel contaminated with a sludge" does not limit the subject-matter of claim 1 to the cleaning of a vessel since "for" should be read as "suitable for". It is therefore considered that the steps listed in independent claim 1 are the same as the step for use of any industrial vacuum cleaner. Furthermore, the features listed in independent claim 10 are known from an industrial vacuum cleaner. The subject-matter of independent claims 1 and 10 is therefore regarded as not novel.

2.2. To dependent claims 2 and 11:

Using pneumatic means is only one of the numerous possibilities which a skilled person would select if facing the problem to generate vacuum. The subject-matter of claims 2 and 11 is therefore regarded as not inventive.

2.3. To dependent claims 3:

All documents WO03/022464, CA-A-02366079, DE-A-10131419 and US-A-5306351 are disclosing systems wherein the suction is generated by pumps. The subject-matter of claim 3 is thus regarded as not novel.

2.4. To dependent claim 4:

All documents WO03/022464, CA-A-02366079, DE-A-10131419 and US-A-5306351 are disclosing systems wherein the material to be removed (sludge) is previously contacted by a solvent. The subject-matter of claim 4 is thus regarded as not novel.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY International application No. PCT/GB 03/02609 EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

2.5. To dependent claims 5 and 6:

Document US-A-5306351 discloses in claim 7 a dispersion solution comprising citrus pectin. The subject-matter of claim 5 is therefore regarded as not novel. Use of orange oil as solvent therefore appears to be only a solution among others which would fall within the basic knowledge of a person skilled in the art. The subject-matter of dependent claim 6 is thus regarded as lacking an inventive step.

2.6. To dependent claim 9:

Since the groups listed in claim 9 are to be found in a oil tank, and since the systems disclosed in documents WO03/022464, CA-A-02366079, DE-A-10131419 and US-A-5306351 are suitable for cleaning of oil tanks, the subject-matter of claim 9 appears to lack novelty.

2.7. To dependent claims 8 and 12:

Document DE-A-19920047 discloses in claims 13 and 14 means for heating the contaminant by way of warm air. The subject-matter of claims 8 and 12 is thus regarded as not novel.

2.8. To dependent claims 7 and 13 to 15:

The subject-matter of these dependent claims is either known or suggested by prior art documents. It is therefore considered that the subject-matter of these dependent claims lacks an inventive step.

3. Rule 6.2.a. PCT:

Claim 16 does not comply with Rule 6.2.a. PCT.